ADVICE FROM THE SCHOLARS

Al-'Allaamah Muhammad Ibn Saalih al-'Uthaimeen (Rahima-hu-llaah: Allah's Mercy Be Upon him)

The Legal Ruling Concerning Animals Which Have Been Slaughtered By Christians & Jews

Question:

One day I invited my companions and co-workers to have lunch (at my house). When they arrived and I served the meal, among the foods (being served) was charcoal grilled chicken that we prepare at home. One of (the guests) — who is known for his uprightness and religious adherence — asked about the chicken — is it home grown (i.e. from our country) or imported! So, I mentioned to him that it was imported and that I think it is from France. He refrained from eating any of the chicken, so I asked why? He answered me by saying that it was *Muharram* (forbidden to eat). I said to him: Where did you get that from? He responded to me, saying: I heard some of the religious learned people saying this... So, I hope that you, O Noble Shaykh will clarify for us the correct Islamic Legal ruling (concerning this matter). May Allah Protect and Preserve you!

Answer:

That which has come from the foreign nations, i.e. non-Islamic nations, if those who are doing the actual **slaughtering** are from *Ahlul-Kitaab*, meaning the Jews and Christians, then eating it is permissible, and it is not necessary to ask about the manner of its **slaughtering**, or if they mentioned the name of Allah over it or not? This is because the Prophet (Sallallaahu Alaihi wa Sallam) ate from the sheep which was given to him as a gift by the Jewish lady in *Khaibar*. [al-Bukhaaree, no. 2617; Muslim, no. 2190]

He (Sallallaahu Alaihi wa Sallam) also ate from the food which a Jew invited him to, which had in it *Ihaalah sanikhah* which is a piece of fat which has undergone some change (due to it being rotten). [al-Bukhaaree, no. 2069, 2508. See: Fath 5/167] He (Sallallaahu Alaihi wa Sallam) did not ask about how it was **slaughtered** or if they mentioned the name of Allah over it or not (at the time when they *slaughtered* it).

Also, in Saheeh al-Bukhaaree [is the narration]: "Some people said: O Messenger of Allah (Sallallaahu Alaihi wa Sallam), verily, some people come to us with meat, and we do not know if they mentioned the name of Allah over it or not? He (Sallallaahu Alaihi wa Sallam) said: 'Mention the name of Allah and eat'." Aa'isha (Radiya-Ilaahu an-haa), the narrator of the Hadeeth, said: They (i.e. the ones who *slaughtered* the meat) had recently left disbelief (i.e. they were new Muslims). [al-Bukhaaree, no. 2057, 5507, 7398]

So, in these Ahaadeeth is a proof that it is not necessary to ask about how something occurred – as long as the one who is actually doing the action is Mu'-tabar at-Tasarruf (one who is acknowledged as having good discretion in his disposal of affairs). This is from the wisdom of the Legislator (Allah, the Most High) and His Facility/Ease; since, if He had required the people to thoroughly investigate all of the pre-requisites (Shuroot) of whatever they receive from someone who is Saheeh at-Tasarruf (one who is known to conduct his affairs in a correct manner), this would entail a degree of difficulty and mental stress which would make the Divine Law (of Allah) a law of hardship and difficulty.

In the case when the *Madh-booh* (*slaughtered* animal) has come from a foreign nation, and those who are actually doing the *slaughter* are a people whose *slaughtered* animals are not lawful, such as the *Majoos* (Magian, fireworshippers), *pagan idolaters*, and *those who have no religion* – then, *it is not lawful to eat it*, since Allah, the Most High, has not made lawful the food of non-Muslims except the food of those who have been given the 'Book', and they are the *Jews* and *Christians*. But, if we have *doubt* concerning whether the one who actually does the *slaughtering* is

from a people whose *slaughtered* animals are lawful or from those whose *slaughtered* animals are not lawful – then, there is no harm in this.

The Fuqahaa' (Scholars of Jurisprudence) - may Allah have mercy upon them - said: "If you find a **Dha-bee-hah** (slaughtered animal) discarded in a place where the slaughtered animals of most of its people are lawful - then it is Halaal (Lawful), except that, in this situation, it is **more fitting to avoid it** and (instead) take that which is **not doubtful**.

An example of this is if meat came to you from someone whose people's *Dha-bee-hah* (slaughtered animals) are lawful. Some of them *slaughter* (their animals) according to the *Sharee'ah* method (i.e. prescribed by Islamic Law), by causing the *blood to gush forth* with a *sharp edged blade* – not with a *tooth* or a *claw* (of an animal)[al-Bukhaaree, no. 2488]-, while others of them *slaughter* in a manner that is not according to the *Sharee'ah* (Islamic Law). However, **most of them do it according to the first way, legally prescribed in the** *Sharee'ah* **(Islamic Law). Then, there is no harm in eating what comes from such a person – based upon [the principal of] '***al-Ak-thar'* **(i.e. the ruling concerning a people is based upon what** *most* **of them do). However, it is** *more fitting to stay far-removed from it Tawarru'an* **(out of cautiousness, carefulness, and fear of doing something displeasing to Allah).**

[Fataawaa 'Ulamaa al-Balad al-Haraam, pages 881 – 883. Translation: Abu Muhammad A.R. Shakir. 15th Rabee' al-Aakhir 1434 AH/25th February 2013CE]

Translator's Note:

The Shaykh (Rahima-hullaah) has noted four (4) important *stipulations* and a valuable *advice* concerning eating the meat from *Kuffaar* (non-Muslims):

- It must be from the Ahlu-I-Kitaab, i.e. Jews or Christians.
 It must not be from the other disbelievers such as Majoos (Magian, fire-worshippers), 'Abadatu-I-Awthaan (pagan idolaters), and those who have no religion.
- 2. The animal must be 'slaughtered' with a sharp edged blade, causing the blood to gush forth, [i.e. not electrocuted, strangled, beat over the head, etc.].
- 3. It is not necessary to ask about the *manner* in which the animal was *slaughtered* as long as the one doing the slaughtering is known to be *Mu'-tabar at-Tasarruf* (one who is acknowledged as having good discretion in his disposal of affairs) or one who is *Saheeh at-Tasarruf* (one who is known to conduct his affairs in a correct manner) i.e. *trustworthy*.
- 4. The principal of 'al-Akthar', i.e. the ruling concerning a people is based upon what most of them do would only be applied in America if most of its people "slaughter (their animals) according to the Sharee'ah method (i.e. prescribed by Islamic Law), by causing the blood to gush forth with a sharp edged blade".

The Shaykh's Closing Advice:

If one has doubt about an offered dish – "it is more fitting to avoid it and (instead) take that which is not doubtful"; or as the Shaykh (Rahima-hullaah) said in his closing: "it is more fitting to stay far-removed from it Tawarru'an (i.e. out of cautiousness, carefulness, and fear of doing something displeasing to Allah)".

The Messenger of Allah (Sallallaahu Alaihi wa Sallam) said:

"Leave that which makes you doubt for that which does not make you doubt." [Reported by at-Tirmidhee, no. 2518 and an-Nasaa'ee, no. 5711. Al-Albaanee declared it to be authentic in Saheeh al-Jaami', no. 3377, and Irwaa' al-Ghaleel, no. 2074]

And he (Sallallaahu Alaihi wa Sallam) also said:

"That which is *Halal* (lawful) is clear and that which is *Haraam* (unlawful) is clear; and between these two are certain *doubtful matters* which many people do not have knowledge of. So, whoever *avoids* the *doubtful matters* has protected his *honor* and his *Deen* (religion), and whoever falls into the *doubtful* matters, falls into that which is *Haraam* (unlawful)...." [Saheeh Muslim, no. 1599; also al-Bukhaaree]